

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/993,015	WEBER, DAVID M.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	VINH P NGUYEN	2829	

**All Participants:**

(1) VINH P NGUYEN.

(2) TKACS.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 February 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*35 usc 102(B)*

**Claims discussed:**

*35*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Nakata et al (Pat #5,355,081)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed with Mr. Tkacs about the rejection of claim 35 under 35 USC 102(b) as being participated by Nakata et al. Examiner indicated to Mr. Tkacs that the light diode (14) Nakata et al would be overheat due to the current flowing through it. Mr. Tkacs agreed to cancel rejected claim 35. .